



AAFCO[®]
Association of American Feed Control Officials





AAFCO[®]
Association of American Feed Control Officials

AAFCO definition process as it relates
to distillers co-products, animal feed
and pet food

Dan King

- Grew up on dairy farm in WI
- Worked for a small cooperative for 12 years
- 14 Years with Minnesota Department of Agriculture
- 12 Years Involved with AAFCO
- ~3 Years as Distillers Products Investigator

About AAFCO

- Association of American Feed Control Officials
 - **www.aaftco.org**
- Recognized internationally as feed standards benchmark
- Comprised of States, Territories, Canada, FDA & Industry Advisors
- Started in 1909 as a means to:
 - Standardize ingredients & labeling.
 - Promote national animal food uniformity
 - Ensure the safety of feed ingredients
 - Keep a level playing field for industry

Industry is innovative & creative

- Black Soldier Fly Products
- Hemp Products
- Algae Products
- Distillers Products

There are 3 recognized ways for ingredient “approvals”

- Food Additive Petition
 - Additives that may alter composition of human food/Human health benefit claims (Omega 3)
- GRAS/Self-Determined GRAS
 - Self-determined is not recognized by all States
- AAFCO Definition Process

So, you have a new ingredient?

- Check official definitions in current AAFCO Official Publication
 - Last year's Chapter Six on AAFCO website for free
- If you are not sure about existing definition(s), contact investigator
 - Or definitions@aafco.org
- If you are sure that you have a new ingredient, then you will have to start a new ingredient definition request
- Follow guidelines in Official Publication (page 345 '23 Official Publication)

How a new ingredient definition moves forward...

- Compile a dossier (from Official Publication) containing :
 - 1) Firm name and contact
 - 2) Summary of the request
 - 3) Proposed definition
 - 4) Description of the ingredient
 - 5) Proposed labeling
 - 6) Historical regulations (if any)
 - 7) Description of the manufacturing process

How a new ingredient definition moves forward...

- 8) Use limitations
- 9) Intended use of the ingredient (Species, class, rate, etc.)
- 10) Safety assessment
 - Manufactures are responsible for the safe manufacture and use for intended species
- 11) List of sited literature
- 12) Copies of all cited reports

How a new ingredient definition moves forward...

- Investigator reviews submission
- Review should be completed within 30 days
 - If any revisions needed, Investigator returns for correction
- Investigator will double-check for completeness and send to the USFDA Division of Animal Feeds, Center for Veterinary Medicine
- The FDA will complete their review within 180 days
 - If there is missing information, or more documentation needed, then the 180 starts after receipt.
 - FDA will communicate directly with firm contact

How a new ingredient definition moves forward...

- If all goes well, a “No objections” letter will be sent by FDA
- Investigator will put new ingredient on Ingredient Definitions Committee agenda for next meeting
 - Regulators and industry can raise questions and/or concerns
 - Committee deliberates on definition
- When voted for acceptance, definition goes to AAFCO Board of Directors for vote to accept
 - If rejected, it gets sent back to Ingredient Definition Committee
- When Board approves, vote goes to AAFCO General Membership for Tentative status in Official Publication

How a new ingredient definition moves forward...

- After 90 business days in Tentative status, Investigator can recommend ingredient be moved to Official status
- Definition goes back to Ingredient Definitions Committee to vote for Official status
- Board of Directors votes to accept as Official Definition and to recommend to Membership
- Membership votes to accept as Official Definition
- Official status is granted immediately after affirmative vote
 - Becomes a non-proprietary ingredient

Challenges

- Must compile peer reviewed data
 - There may be little data for new/novel ingredient
 - Long-term safety data may be difficult to obtain
 - Historical use may not exist for new ingredient
- Fewer species/classes may make submission faster
 - Single species safety data may be easier than multiple species
 - Non-food animals may help speed process up
 - Inclusion rate in feed is factor if consumed daily for years

Challenges

- Turn Around Time
 - Investigator
 - FDA Review
 - Ingredient Definition Committee
 - AAFCO Board
 - AAFCO General Membership
 - Other Industry Opposition

Challenges

- Consumers may not like product name
 - Pet food consumers may not be knowledgeable regarding “feed”
 - Pet food consumers often want human food standards
 - Byproducts get a bad name

In conclusion

- Have a current copy of the AAFCO Official Publication
- Get to know your ingredient Investigator
- Ensure that your dossier is complete, especially safety data
- Attend AAFCO meetings

Questions?

Dan King

Minnesota Department of Agriculture

Daniel.King@State.MN.US

(651) 207-3408